

## ON PARALLEL LINES AND FREE GROUP

KWAI-MAN FAN

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a union of  $n \geq 2$  distinct complex lines. Suppose  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  is a free group. We show that  $\Sigma$  is a union of parallel lines.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a union of  $n \geq 2$  distinct lines. Here, a line means the solution set of a linear equation of the form  $ax + by + c = 0$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $a, b, c$  are complex numbers. In case  $a, b, c$  are real numbers, we may imagine a picture of the lines of  $\Sigma$  in the real plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If these lines have the same slope,  $\Sigma$  is called a union of parallel lines. Note  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a union of parallel lines if and only if  $\Sigma$  has no singular point. Consider the four manifold  $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma$ . Suppose  $\Sigma$  is a union of  $n$  distinct parallel lines. By performing a linear transformation, we may assume that each of the line  $L_i$  is given by an equation of the form  $x = c_i$ , and we have  $c_i \neq c_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . It follows that  $M \cong \mathbb{C} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_n\} \times \mathbb{C}$ . Hence we have  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}) \cong F_n$ , where  $F_n$  is a free group of rank  $n$ . For a union of lines  $\Sigma$ , in general, it is difficult to decide the structure of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  [8]. It is interesting to know when the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  will decide the structure of  $\Sigma$ . In [2], the authors show that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  is a free abelian group if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a union of lines in general position. Note a union of parallel lines has one of the simplest configuration. One question is: for a union of complex lines in the complex plane, when is  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  a free group? In this paper, we prove:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a union of  $n \geq 2$  distinct complex lines. Suppose  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  is a free group. Then  $\Sigma$  is a union of parallel lines.

Hence we have:

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a union of  $n \geq 2$  distinct complex lines. Then  $\Sigma$  is a union of parallel lines if and only if  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  is free.

This gives us a way to characterize a union of parallel lines in the complex plane in terms of the fundamental group of its complement. In section 3, we show:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a union of  $n \geq 2$  distinct complex lines. Suppose  $\Sigma$  has at least one singular point. Then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  contains a subgroup  $H$  which is isomorphic to a free abelian group of rank 2.

It is well known that a subgroup of a free group is free [10], [11]. If  $\Sigma$  is not a union of parallel lines, then Theorem 2 implies that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  is not a free group. In order to prove Theorem 2, we need to study a presentation of  $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$ . Several authors have given different ways to obtain a presentation of  $G$ , c. f. for example, [1], [3], [9], [12], [14]. Here, we apply the method given by Arvola in [1] to obtain a presentation of  $G$ . A detail exposition of this method can be found, for example, in Chapter 5 of the book [13] by Orlik and Terao. In this work, we follow notations as given in [1], and in Section 5.3 of [13]. In section 2, we give a summary of this method and derive a lemma. The proof of Theorem 2 is given in section 3. Since the complex projective plane is obtained from  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by adjoining a projective line, Corollary 1 implies:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  be a union of  $n$  complex projective lines. Then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  is a free group if and only if  $L_1 \cap \cdots \cap L_n \neq \emptyset$ .

Theorem 3 and the Zariski's Hyperplane Section Theorem [15] together implies:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\Lambda = H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_n \subset \mathbb{C}P^{m+2}$  be a union of hyperplanes, where  $m \geq 0$ . Then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^{m+2} \setminus \Lambda)$  is a free group if and only if  $\dim(H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n) \geq m$ .

## 2. SOME NOTES

Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a union of  $n$  distinct complex lines. Let us begin with a summary of the method of [1] which gives us a way to obtain a presentation of  $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$ . Choose coordinates  $z_1, z_2$  for  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and coordinates  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2$  for  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Identify  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ , and  $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^2$  be the span of  $x_1, x_2$ , and let  $\mathbb{R}^3$  be the span of  $x_1, x_2, y_2$ . Let  $\phi^2 : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\phi^3 : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the projection map given by  $\phi^2(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $\phi^3(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (x_1, x_2, y_2)$ , and  $\phi(x_1, x_2, y_2) = (x_1, x_2)$ , respectively. Let  $P$  be the set of all multiple points of  $\Sigma$ . After a suitable linear transformation, we assume the following two conditions holds:

Assumption 1) No lines of  $\Sigma$  is of the form  $\{z_1 = c\}$ .

Assumption 2) If  $p, p' \in P$  are distinct multiple points, then  $x_1(p) \neq x_1(p')$ .

Write  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$  so that  $x_1(p_1) < \cdots < x_1(p_r)$ . Choose a piecewise linear map  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that for each  $p_i \in P$ , the graph of  $f$  goes through  $(x_1(p_i), y_1(p_i))$ . The map  $f$  is chosen to be a constant function at a small open neighborhood of each  $x_1(p_i)$ . Such an  $f$  is called a graphing map.

For a fixed  $t$ , let  $K_t(f) = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1(q) = t, y_1(q) = f(t)\}$ . Note  $K_t(f)$  is a complex line in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with the first coordinate  $z_1 = (t, f(t))$ . Let  $\Gamma_f^4 = \Sigma \cap (\cup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} K_t(f))$ . Conditions (1) and (2) given above implies that for each  $L_i \subset \Sigma$ ,  $L_i \cap \Gamma_f^4$  is homeomorphic to the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Gamma_f^4$  may be seen as a singular braid sitting in the 3-space  $\cup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} K_t(f) \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\Gamma_f^3 = \phi^3(\Gamma_f^4)$ , and  $\Gamma_f^2 = \phi^2(\Gamma_f^4)$ . By a suitable choice of coordinates and  $f$ , we assume that the graph  $\Gamma_f^2$  is regular with respect to the projection map  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^3, \Gamma_f^3) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \Gamma_f^2)$  in the sense that  $\phi$  and  $f$  satisfy conditions of Lemma 5.62 of [13]. For  $\Gamma_f^2 = \phi(\Gamma_f^3)$ , the condition of  $\phi$  being regular agrees with the usual definition as given in the theory of

singular braid. For  $p \in P$ , where  $P$  is the set of singular points of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\phi(P)$  is called an actual vertex of  $\Gamma_f^2$ . For  $q \in \Gamma_f^2$  such that  $|\phi^{-1}(q) \cap \Gamma_f^3| = 2$ ,  $q$  is called a virtual vertex of  $\Gamma_f^2$ . Following the convention of Definition 5.68 of [13], we assign a positive or negative sign to a virtual vertex of  $\Gamma_f^2$ . The method of assigning a positive or negative sign to a virtual vertex of  $\Gamma_f^2$  agrees with the usual way that is used in knot theory. Think that each of the string of the singular braid  $\Gamma_f^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is oriented from the left side ( $x_1 = -\infty$ ) to the right side ( $x_1 = +\infty$ ) following the orientation of the  $x_1$  axis. With respect to the projection map  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^3, \Gamma_f^3) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \Gamma_f^2)$ , the positive virtual vertices are the positive crossings, and the negative virtual vertices are the negative crossings, c. f. Fig 5.11 of [13]. Call a regular 2-graph  $\Gamma_f^2$  admissible if its graphing map  $f$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.66 of [13], and its virtual vertices are marked by  $+$  or  $-$  signs accordingly. The graphing map  $f$  is chosen so that each of the vertical line  $\{x_1 = t\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  goes through at most one vertex of  $\Gamma_f^2$ .

A presentation of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  can be read from an admissible 2-graph. Let  $u^v = v^{-1}uv$ , and let  $[u_1, \dots, u_h]$  denote the cyclic relation:

$$u_1 u_2 \cdots u_h = u_2 \cdots u_h u_1 = \cdots = u_h u_1 \cdots u_{h-1}.$$

Let  $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$  be the set of all vertices of  $\Gamma_f^2$  so that  $x_1(q_1) < \cdots < x_1(q_s)$ . Choose  $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{s-1} < t_s$  to separate the  $x_1$  coordinates so that

$$t_0 < x_1(q_1) < t_1 < x_1(q_2) < t_2 < \cdots < x_1(q_{s-1}) < t_{s-1} < x_1(q_s) < t_s.$$

Let  $E(i) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the vertical line given by  $x_1 = t_i$ . Let  $A(i) = E(i) \cap \Gamma_f^2$ , and we order the points of  $A(i)$  by the  $x_2$  coordinates in increasing order. Write  $A(i) = (a_1^i, \dots, a_n^i)$  so that  $x_2(a_1^i) < x_2(a_2^i) < \cdots < x_2(a_n^i)$ . For  $i = 0$ , we associate the word  $g_j$  to the point  $a_j^0$ ; for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $W_0 = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ . The words  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  form a set of generators for  $G$ . We associate a word  $w_j^i = w_j^i(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  to the point  $a_j^i$ . Let  $W_i = (w_1^i, \dots, w_n^i)$ ; for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . For  $i > 0$ , the set of words  $W_i$  are obtained from  $W_{i-1}$  according to the rules given below. Let  $R(t_{i-1}, t_i) = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid t_{i-1} \leq x_1 \leq t_i\}$ . Let  $q = q_i$  be the vertex of  $\Gamma_f^2$  in  $R(t_{i-1}, t_i)$ , so that the segments of  $\Gamma_f^2$  in  $R(t_{i-1}, t_i)$  begin from  $a_j^{i-1}, a_{j+1}^{i-1}, \dots, a_k^{i-1}$  intersect at the point  $q$ , and the other segments of  $\Gamma_f^2$  in  $R(t_{i-1}, t_i)$  do not go through  $q$ . Suppose  $W_{i-1} = (w_1, \dots, w_{j-1}, w_j, \dots, w_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n)$ . Then  $W_i = (w_1, \dots, w_{j-1}, w'_k, w'_{k-1} \cdots, w'_{j+1}, w'_j, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n)$ . There are three cases:

Case 1. Suppose  $q$  is an actual vertex. Then  $w'_j = w_j$ ,  $w'_{j+1} = w_{j+1}^{w_j}, \dots, w'_k = w_k^{w_{k-1} \cdots w_j}$ .

Case 2. Suppose  $q$  is a positive virtual vertex. Then  $k = j + 1$ ,  $w'_j = w_j$ ,  $w'_{j+1} = w_{j+1}^{w_j}$ .

Case 3. Suppose  $q$  is a negative virtual vertex. Then  $k = j + 1$ ,  $w'_j = w_j^{w_{j+1}^{-1}}$ ,  $w'_{j+1} = w_{j+1}$ .

To each of the actual vertex  $q$  of  $\Gamma_f^2$ , we associate a set of relations  $R_q = [w_k, w_{k-1}, \dots, w_j]$ .

**Arvola's Theorem.** Let  $\Sigma$  be an arrangement of complex lines in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Let  $M = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be an admissible 2-graph for  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\pi_1(M) \cong \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid \cup_q R_q \rangle$  where  $q$  ranges over all the actual vertices of  $\Gamma$ .

If we range through a vertex  $q_i$  of  $\Gamma$ , we need to change the words in  $W_i$  accordingly. Remark 4.10 of [1] is helpful to us which says that we may begin to derive a presentation of  $\pi_1(M)$  from the first actual vertex. Suppose  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  are virtual vertices and  $q_{m+1}$  is the first actual vertex of an admissible 2-graph  $\Gamma_f^2$  for  $\Sigma$ . Let  $W_m = (g_1(m), \dots, g_n(m))$ . Suppose we range through a positive virtual vertex. As in case 2, we get  $w'_j = w_j$  and  $w'_{j+1} = w_j^{-1} w_{j+1} w_j$ . Reverse these relations, we get  $w_{j+1} = w'_j w'_{j+1} w_j^{-1}$ . Suppose we range through a negative virtual vertex. As in case 3, we get  $w'_j = w_{j+1} w_j w_{j+1}^{-1}$  and  $w'_{j+1} = w_{j+1}$ . Reverse these relations, we get  $w_j = w'_{j+1}^{-1} w'_j w'_{j+1}$ . Hence we may express each  $g_i$  of  $W_0$  in terms of  $g_1(m), \dots, g_n(m)$ . By applying Tietze transformations [10], we may replace  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  by  $g_1(m), \dots, g_n(m)$  as a set of generators for  $G$ . In the next section, we are going to choose generators for  $G$  at  $E(m)$ .

Let  $G \cong \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid \cup_q R_q \rangle$  be a presentation of  $\pi_1(M)$  given as above. Suppose  $q = q_i$  is an actual vertex of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $W_i = (w_1, \dots, w_j, \dots, w_k, \dots, w_n)$ . Suppose that  $R_q = [w_k, w_{k-1}, \dots, w_j]$ .  $R_q$  is equivalent to the following  $k - j$  relations:

$$w_k w_{k-1} \cdots w_j = w_{k-1} \cdots w_j w_k,$$

$w_{k-1} w_{k-2} \cdots w_j w_k = w_{k-2} \cdots w_j w_k w_{k-1}, \dots, w_{j+1} w_j w_k \cdots w_{j+2} = w_j w_k \cdots w_{j+2} w_{j+1}$ . These  $k - j$  relations are of form  $ab = ba$ . Rewrite these relations in the form of  $aba^{-1}b^{-1} = e$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $\pi_1(M)$ . For each actual vertex  $q$  of  $\Gamma$ , we replace  $R_q$  by relations of form  $a(q)b(q)a(q)^{-1}b(q)^{-1} = e$ . Note  $a(q)$  and  $b(q)$  are words in  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ . Hence the above presentation of  $\pi_1(M)$  has the form:

$$\pi_1(M) \cong \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid U_1, \dots, U_t \rangle,$$

so that each of the relator  $U_i$  is a commutator [3]. That is:  $U_i = a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1}$ , where  $a_i, b_i$  are words in  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ ; for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ .

Let  $H \cong \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \mid V_1, \dots, V_t \rangle$  be a group so that for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ , the relator  $V_j$  is a commutator. Let  $W = W(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be a word in  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ . We give a condition so that  $W$  represents a nontrivial element of  $H$ . Recall the definition of exponent sum of a word  $W$  as given in pp. 76 of [10]. Suppose  $W = b_{v_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots b_{v_r}^{\alpha_r}$ , where the  $\alpha_i$  are integers, and  $v_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then the exponent sum of  $W$  in  $b_v$  is the integer  $\sigma_v = \sum_{v_i=v} \alpha_i$ . For the

empty word  $e$ , let  $\sigma_v(e) = 0$ . For example, suppose  $W = b_1^5 b_2 b_1^{-1} b_2^{-3} b_1^{-1}$ . Then  $\sigma_1(W) = 3$ ,  $\sigma_2(W) = -2$ . The exponent sum  $\sigma_v$  has the property, c. f. pp. 76 of [10], that for any word  $W = UV$ , we have

$$\sigma_v(W) = \sigma_v(U) + \sigma_v(V). \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $H \cong \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \mid V_1, \dots, V_t \rangle$  be a group, so that the relator  $V_j$  is a commutator; for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ . Let  $A, B$  be two words in  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ . Suppose  $A = B$  holds in  $H$ . Then we have  $\sigma_i(A) = \sigma_i(B)$ ; for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . In particular, suppose  $A = e$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $H$ . Then we have  $\sigma_1(A) = \dots = \sigma_n(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Recall that in a group  $G$  with generators  $a, b, \dots$ , the trivial relators  $aa^{-1}$ ,  $a^{-1}a$ ,  $bb^{-1}$ ,  $b^{-1}b, \dots$  represent the identity element. Suppose  $A = B$  holds in  $H$ . Then we can

change the word  $A$  to  $B$  by a finite number of steps  $A = A_1, A_2 \cdots, A_k = B$  so that  $A_{i+1}$  is obtained from  $A_i$  by applying one of the following two operations:

- i) Insertion of one of the words  $V_1, V_1^{-1} \cdots, V_t, V_t^{-1}$  or one of the trivial relators of  $H$  between any two consecutive symbols of  $A_i$ , or before  $A_i$ , or after  $A_i$ .
- ii) Deletion of one of the words  $V_1, V_1^{-1} \cdots, V_t, V_t^{-1}$  or one of the trivial relators of  $H$  between any two consecutive symbols of  $A_i$ , or before  $A_i$ , or after  $A_i$ .

Since  $V_j$  is a commutator, it follows that  $\sigma_1(V_j) = \cdots = \sigma_n(V_j) = 0$ . For any trivial relator of the form  $gg^{-1}$ , where  $g \in \{b_1, b_1^{-1} \cdots, b_n, b_n^{-1}\}$ , we have  $\sigma_1(gg^{-1}) = \cdots = \sigma_n(gg^{-1}) = 0$ . By the property (1) of exponent sum given above, we have

$$\sigma_i(A_1) = \sigma_i(A_2) = \cdots = \sigma_i(A_k).$$

Hence we have  $\sigma_i(A) = \sigma_i(B)$ ; for  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ .

Suppose  $A = e$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $H$ . Since  $\sigma_i(e) = 0$ , so we have  $\sigma_i(A) = 0$ ; for  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ .  $\square$

Lemma 1 has the following obvious implication. Let  $W = W(b_1, \cdots, b_n)$  be a word in  $b_1, \cdots, b_n$ . Suppose there is an  $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$  such that the exponent sum  $\sigma_i(W) \neq 0$ . Then in the group  $H$ , we have  $W \neq e$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $H$ .

### 3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Let us give the following:

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Choose suitable coordinates, we assume  $L_1, \cdots, L_n$  satisfy the two assumptions listed in section 2. Choose a suitable graphing map  $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that the graph  $\Gamma = \Gamma_f^2$  is regular with respect to the projection map  $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^3, \Gamma_f^3) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \Gamma_f^2)$ . We assume that the vertices and nodes of  $\Gamma$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.66 of [13]. The graphing map  $f$  is chosen so that each of the vertical line  $\{x_1 = t\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  goes through at most one vertex of  $\Gamma$ . Following the convention of Definition 5.68 of [13], we assign a positive or negative sign to each virtual vertex of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\{q_1, \cdots, q_s\}$  be the set of all vertices of  $\Gamma$  so that  $x_1(q_1) < \cdots < x_1(q_s)$ . Choose  $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{s-1} < t_s$  to separate the  $x_1$  coordinates of these vertices so that

$$t_0 < x_1(q_1) < t_1 < x_1(q_2) < t_2 < \cdots < x_1(q_{s-1}) < t_{s-1} < x_1(q_s) < t_s.$$

Let  $E(i)$  be the vertical line  $\{x_1 = t_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $q_{m+1}$  be the first actual vertex of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $E(m) \cap \Gamma = \{(t_m, y_1), \cdots, (t_m, y_n)\}$ , so that we have  $y_1 < \cdots < y_n$ . Let  $Q_i = (t_m, y_i)$ , and we associate the symbol  $g_i$  to the point  $Q_i$ ; for  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ . Following Remark 4.10 of [1], we may use  $g_1, \cdots, g_n$  as a set of generators for  $G$ . Let

$$\pi_1(M) \cong \langle g_1, \cdots, g_n \mid \cup_q R_q \rangle$$

be the presentation of  $G$  given by Theorem 4.7 of [1], using  $g_1, \cdots, g_n$  as generators. Suppose the first actual vertex  $q = q_{m+1}$  of  $\Gamma$  gives us the relation  $R_q = [g_k, g_{k-1}, \cdots, g_j]$  so that  $k - j \geq 1$ . Write this presentation, as given in section 2, in the form:

$$G = \pi_1(M) \cong \langle g_1, \cdots, g_n \mid U_1, \cdots, U_t \rangle$$

so that  $U_i$  is a commutator; for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . In this presentation of  $\pi_1(M)$ , the relation  $R_q$  gives us  $k - j$  relations of form  $aba^{-1}b^{-1} = e$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $G$ . The first one of these  $k - j$  relations gives us:

$$g_k(g_{k-1} \cdots g_j) = (g_{k-1} \cdots g_j)g_k. \quad (2)$$

Let  $A = g_k$  and  $B = g_{k-1} \cdots g_j$ . Note (2) implies that the relation  $AB = BA$  holds in  $G$ . Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $A$  and  $B$ . Hence we have  $H = \{A^i B^j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Suppose  $A^{i_0} B^{j_0} = A^{i_1} B^{j_1}$ . Then we have

$$W = A^{i_0} B^{j_0} (A^{i_1} B^{j_1})^{-1} = A^{i_0 - i_1} B^{j_0 - j_1} = g_k^{i_0 - i_1} (g_{k-1} \cdots g_j)^{j_0 - j_1} = e.$$

Consider the exponent sum  $\sigma_j(W), \dots, \sigma_{k-1}(W), \sigma_k(W)$ . Note  $\sigma_k(W) = i_0 - i_1$ , and

$$\sigma_j(W) = \cdots = \sigma_{k-1}(W) = j_0 - j_1.$$

Since  $W = e$  holds in  $G$ , Lemma 1 implies that  $i_0 - i_1 = 0$  and  $j_0 - j_1 = 0$ . Hence we have  $A^{i_0} B^{j_0} = A^{i_1} B^{j_1}$  if and only if  $i_0 = i_1$  and  $j_0 = j_1$  holds. In particular, this implies that for the subgroups  $\langle A \rangle, \langle B \rangle$  of  $G$  generated by  $A, B$  respectively, we have  $\langle A \rangle \cap \langle B \rangle = \{e\}$  and  $\langle A \rangle \cong \langle B \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence we have  $H \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

Let us give the following:

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a union of  $n \geq 2$  distinct complex lines. Suppose  $\Sigma$  is not a union of parallel lines. Then  $\Sigma$  has least one singular point. Theorem 2 implies that  $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  contains a subgroup  $H$  which is isomorphic to a free abelian group of rank 2. Since a subgroup of a free group is free, it follows that  $G$  is not a free group. This shows that if  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$  is a free group, then  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a union of parallel lines.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.* If  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a union of  $n \geq 2$  parallel lines, then we have  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \Sigma) \cong F_n$ . This fact together with Theorem 1 gives us Corollary 1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $\Sigma = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  be a union of  $n$  distinct complex projective lines. Suppose  $n = 1$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus L_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$  is simply connected. Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Note for any  $L_i \subset \Sigma$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus L_i \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ , and  $\Sigma \setminus L_i \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus L_i$  is a union of  $n - 1$  distinct affine lines. Suppose  $n = 2$ . Then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  contains exactly one point, and  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \Sigma \cong (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}$ . It follows that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \Sigma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

Suppose  $n > 2$ . Note we have  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \Sigma) = \pi_1((\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus L_1) \setminus (\Sigma \setminus L_1))$ .  $\Sigma \setminus L_1$  is a union of  $n - 1$  parallel lines in  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus L_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$  if and only if  $L_1 \cap \cdots \cap L_n \neq \emptyset$  holds. By Corollary 1,  $\Sigma \setminus L_1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus L_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$  is a union of  $n - 1$  parallel lines if and only if  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \Sigma) \cong \pi_1((\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus L_1) \setminus (\Sigma \setminus L_1)) \cong F_{n-1}$ . This gives us Theorem 3.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Let  $\Lambda = H_1 \cup \cdots \cup H_n \subset \mathbb{C}P^{m+2}$  be a union of  $n$  distinct hyperplanes. The case when  $m = 0$  is settled in Theorem 3, so we assume  $m \geq 1$ . Suppose  $n = 1$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}P^{m+2} \setminus H_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^{m+2}$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}^{m+2}$  is simply connected, the conclusion follows. Suppose  $n = 2$ . Note we have  $\dim(H_1 \cap H_2) = m$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^{m+2} \setminus (H_1 \cup H_2) \cong (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ . It follows that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^{m+2} \setminus \Lambda) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $n > 2$ . For a set of hyperplanes  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  in

the projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^{m+2}$ ,  $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n$  is either an empty set, or a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}P^{m+2}$  of dimension  $k \leq m$ .

i) Suppose  $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n = E$ , where  $E \cong \mathbb{C}P^m$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}P^{m+2}$  of dimension  $m$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^{m+2}$  be a 2-plane so that a)  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is not a subspace of  $H_i$ ; for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and b)  $\mathbb{P}^2$  intersects  $E$  transversally. It follows that  $\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_i = L_i$  is a complex projective lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Since  $\dim(\mathbb{P}^2) + \dim(E) = 2 + m$ , so  $\mathbb{P}^2 \cap E$  has exactly one point. Let  $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^2 \cap \Lambda = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n$ . By the Zariski Hyperplane Section Theorem, we have  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^{m+2} \setminus \Lambda) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$ . Note

$$L_1 \cap \cdots \cap L_n = (\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_n) = \mathbb{P}^2 \cap (H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n) = \mathbb{P}^2 \cap E \neq \emptyset.$$

By Theorem 3, it follows that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^{m+2} \setminus \Lambda) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \Sigma) \cong F_n$ .

ii) Suppose we have either  $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n = \emptyset$ , or  $\dim(H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n) < m$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^{m+2}$  be a 2-plane so that the following three conditions hold:

- 1) For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\dim(\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_i) = 1$ .
- 2) For  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_i \cap H_j$  is a point.
- 3) For  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$ , suppose  $\dim(H_i \cap H_j \cap H_k) < m$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_i \cap H_j \cap H_k = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $H_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{i_t} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\dim(H_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{i_t}) < m$ , where  $\{i_1, \dots, i_t\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then there are  $i, j, k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$  such that  $\dim(H_i \cap H_j \cap H_k) < m$ . Suppose for any  $i, j, k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$ , we have  $\dim(H_i \cap H_j \cap H_k) \geq m$ . This implies  $\dim(H_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{i_t}) \geq m$  which is a contradiction to the hypothesis.

Let  $\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_i = L_i$ , and let  $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^2 \cap \Lambda = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n$ . By the Zariski Hyperplane Section Theorem, we have  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^{m+2} \setminus \Lambda) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \Sigma)$ . Note

$$L_1 \cap \cdots \cap L_n = (\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\mathbb{P}^2 \cap H_n) = \mathbb{P}^2 \cap (H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n).$$

Since we have either  $H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n = \emptyset$  or  $\dim(H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n) < m$ , the third condition implies that  $L_1 \cap \cdots \cap L_n = \mathbb{P}^2 \cap (H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_n) = \emptyset$ . Theorem 3 implies  $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \Sigma) \not\cong F_n$ . It follows that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^{m+2} \setminus \Lambda) \not\cong F_n$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. A. Arvola, The fundamental group of the complement of an arrangement of complex hyperplanes, *Topology* **31** (1992), 757-765.
- [2] A.D.R. Choudary, A. Dimca and S. Papadima, Some analogs of Zariski's Theorem on nodal line arrangements, *Alg. and Geom. Topology* **5** (2005), 691-711.
- [3] D. Cohen and A. Suci, The braid monodromy of plane algebraic curves and hyperplane arrangements, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72** (1997), no.2, 285-315.
- [4] K. M. Fan, Position of singularities and the fundamental group of the complement of a union of lines, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3299-3303.
- [5] K. M. Fan, Direct product of free groups as the fundamental group of the complement of a union of lines, *Michigan Math. J.* **44** (1997), 283-291.
- [6] D. Garber and M. Teicher, The fundamental group's structure of the complement of some configurations of real line arrangements, *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry*, 173-223, de Gruyter (2000).
- [7] D. Garber, M. Teicher and U. Vishne,  $\pi_1$ -classification of real arrangements with up to eight lines. *Topology* **42** (2003), no. 1, 265-289.

- [8] F. Hirzebruch, Arrangements of Lines and Algebraic Surfaces, *Arithmetic and Geometry*, vol II, 113-140, Birkhäuser (1983).
- [9] E. R. van Kampen, On the fundamental group of an algebraic curve, *Amer. J. Math.* **55** (1933), 255-260.
- [10] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Second Revised Edition, Dover Publications (1976).
- [11] W. S. Massey, *Algebraic Topology: An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag (1967).
- [12] B. Moishezon and M. Teicher, Braid group technique in complex geometry, I: Line arrangements in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , *Contemp. Math.* **78** (1988), 425-555.
- [13] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of Hyperplanes*, Springer-Verlag (1992).
- [14] O. Zariski, On the problem of existence of algebraic functions of two variables possessing a given branch curves, *Amer. J. of Math.* **51** (1929), 305-328.
- [15] O. Zariski, A theorem on the Poincaré group of an algebraic hypersurface, *Annals of Math.* **38** (1937), no. 1, 131-141.

*E-mail address:* kmfan@math.ccu.edu.tw